

## *The irksome nature of left members of German compounds*

Máire B. Noonan, McGill University/UQÀM

The left-hand member of German compounds may consist of what appears to be a bare root (see (1), or it can contain one of a number of suffixes, traditionally termed linking morphemes (henceforth LKs), see (2). The precise nature of the various LKs has remained elusive, despite several comprehensive descriptive and/or diachronic accounts (e.g. Fuhrhop 1996, Nübling & Szczepaniak 2013, among others). Approaches have attempted to provide prosodically based analyses, as well as refutations of such. A number of the LKs appear to be identical to inflectional forms of the left members, while others are non-existent as words independent of their occurrence in a compound. The former are termed “paradigmatic” and the latter “non-paradigmatic” (e.g. Fuhrhop 1996). While a definitive novel account of all the LKs is well beyond the scope of this presentation, we have set the modest goal of revisiting a number of questions posed by both the bare root compounds as well as the ones containing an LK. Specifically, we will cast our questions within a syntactic framework, that is, an approach that does not postulate morphology as a separate module. We will restrict our discussion to (i) V-N compounds, and (ii) N-N compounds where the left member appears to be a plural form. We will furthermore focus on two generalisations: (i) in V-N compounds, minimal pairs where V is a bare root versus those which contain an instance of the so-called non-paradigmatic LK *-s* display subtle interpretive differences; see (3). We propose to analyse bare V-N compounds as ROOT-ROOT adjunctions, while those containing a LK as a structure dominating two *n*Ps. The LK in these cases constitutes a functional head that sets two phrases in a relationship that can be one of predication or modification. (ii) While a number of irregular plural forms are admissible in N-N compounds, the productive plural suffix *-s* is disallowed; see (2c) vs. (2d). This mirrors a well-known asymmetry in English compounds, which permit irregular plurals but resist productive inflectional suffixes (e.g. *mice infested* versus *\*rats infested*; see e.g. Harley 2008; Siddiqi 2009). The fact that the productive plural is barred suggests that the extended projections of compounds can include a low head that encodes number, but not a higher Number head, which hosts the productive plural morpheme (see Kilbourn-Ceron et al. 2016).

A. Compounds with bare root left-hand members (no LK) (n.b. category is tentative, presumably uncategorized roots):

- (1) a. Buch-handel ‘book trade’      b. Miet-handy rent mobile (‘cell phone for rent’)

B. Compounds a left-hand member possessing a LK:

[ [X<sub>1</sub> - LK] - X<sub>2</sub> ]      (X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub>, respectively, can be complex)

- (2) a. Rind-s-leber    beef-LK-liver      b. Hust-en-saft      cough-EN-juice (‘cough sirop’)  
c. Büch-er-regal    book-PL<sub>irreg</sub>-shelf      d. \*Auto-s-händler    car-PL<sub>default</sub>-salesman

- (3) a. Miet-haus                      b. Miet-s-haus  
rent house                              rent-LK house  
‘a house for rent’                      ‘an apartment building’

### References

- Fuhrhop, Nanna. 1996. Fugenelemente. In Lang, Ewald and Gisela Zifonun (eds.) *Deutsch – typologisch*. Walter de Gruyter.
- Harley, Heidi. 2008. When is a syncretism more than a syncretism? In Daniel Harbour, David Adger & Susana Béjar (eds), *Phi Theory*, 251–294. Oxford: OUP.
- Kilbourn-Ceron, Oriana, Heather Newell, Máire Noonan & Lisa Travis. 2016. Phase domains at PF: Root Suppletion and its Implications. In H. Harley & D. Siddiqi (eds.) *Morphological Metatheory*. Benjamins.
- Nübling, Damaris and Szczepaniak, Renata. 2013. Linking elements in German Origin, Change, Functionalization. *Morphology* 23:67–89 DOI 10.1007/s11525-013-9213-9
- Siddiqi, Daniel. 2009. *Syntax within the Word: Economy, Allomorphy, and Argument Selection in Distributed Morphology* [Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today 138]. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. doi:10.1075/la.138
- Wegener, Heide. 2003. Entstehung und Funktion der Fugenelemente im Deutschen - oder: Warum wir keine \*Autosbahn haben. *Linguistische Berichte* 196:425-457